





- Project Purpose
  - ▶ Development of a Master Plan to address the problem of obsolescence in critical plant process control and data systems;
  - ▶ Coordination of Approval & Implementation of that plan.
- Project Team Members
  - ► Al Williams
  - ► Bill Morgan
  - ▶ James Burr
  - ► Ken Nielson

# Project Scope

#### **Activities**

Project activities will be limited to development of the Intermountain Generation Facility CISD Master Plan.

### **Systems**

The CISD Master Plan will include the following systems:

- ★ Coordinated Control Systems (CCS)
  - ► Foxboro Videospec & Microspec Systems
  - ► GE TAC, TGSI, & EHC Systems
  - ► Rochester Information System (RIS)
  - ► Bailey Burner Management & Control Systems.
- ★ Information Computer Systems (IC)
  - ► Foxboro FOX 1/A Systems
  - ► PI Plant Information Systems
- Future Simulation, Test, & Training System



# Historical Background

The CCS and IC systems were installed in 1986-87. By 1989, OEMs had discontinued production and sale of new systems of this type.

In 1993, the PI system was installed to enhance plant information collection capability and extend the service life of the IC system. Replacement of the IC system was originally planned for 1998-99.

Currently, OEMs and some 3rd-party vendors continue to provide support for most CCS and IC system components but support costs are escalating and parts availability is decreasing.

### **Project Justification**

- Maintain Station Availability
  - ▶ Reliable and continuous operation of the CCS and unit-level process information systems is critical to this goal.
  - ▶ The obsolete nature of these systems may impact this goal.
- Improve Station Efficiency/Cost Control:
  - ▶ Maintenance costs for these systems is increasing.
  - ► New systems may offer significant capability for further efficiency gains at IGS.
- Develop a Replacement Master Plan:
  - ▶ Lead-time to begin a project of this type is 2 3 years.
  - ▶ Project duration would be 2 4 years.
  - Starting today, replacement would begin in 2002 and end between 2004 -2006



### **Project Activities**


- Maintain Station Availability:
  - ▶ The current status of each system will be reviewed, including:
    - ★ System reliability & availability.
    - ★ Lead-time for replacement parts and response time on repairs.
    - ★ Need for and alternatives to the existing systems.
  - ► A prioritized replacement sequence will be developed from the review.
- Improve Station Efficiency/Cost Control:
  - ▶ Maintenance costs for existing systems will be reviewed
  - ► The technology review will seek to identify other potential benefits and gains replacement technology may offer IPSC beyond reduction of control and information systems maintenance costs and inventory.
- Develop a Replacement Master Plan:
  - ► System descriptions and documentation will be updated.
  - ► A technology review will be completed to identify:
    - ★ Preferred replacement technology; and,
      - OEMs with candidate capability for replacement system.
  - Preliminary replacement system designs and a time line will be completed to provide for development of accurate budgetary cost estimates.

### **Project Benefits**

- Maintain Station Availability:
  - ► Issue of obsolescence and spare parts availability would be addressed.
- Improve Station Efficiency/Cost Control:
  - ▶ New systems utilize less proprietary hardware reducing cost to maintain.
  - ► Control/monitoring system speed and capability would be improved. Offering potential savings in plant operations and maintenance.
  - ► Control system programs on new systems would be portable to modeling and simulation systems.
- Develop a Replacement Master Plan:
  - Develops an organized replacement sequence and identifies future budget requirements.
  - Provides current, accurate information on system status
  - Minimizes potential for emergency replacement projects.


## **Project Sequence**

- Update system descriptions and complete a system review.
- Complete a technology Review.
- Determine replacement priorities.
- Develop preliminary replacement designs.
- Obtain accurate cost estimates for replacement projects.
- Develop a Master Plan.
- Develop a multi-year budget proposal for to implement the plan if approved.



## **Project Deliverables**

- Completion of System status summaries.
- List of technology alternatives, preferred technology options, and candidate vendors.
- Preliminary replacement design/criteria.
- CISD Master Plan, recommended time-line, and budgetary cost estimate.
- Multi-year capital budget proposal will be submitted per the CISD Master Plan schedule.
  - ★ Contingent on Master Plan Approval.




## **Project Requirements**


- Manpower approval
  - ► Authorization to spend additional time on project objectives.
- Travel Authorization
  - ► To get accurate information on actual system capabilities v. advertized:
    - ★ Visit to sites with installed candidate systems.
    - Attend specified events/sites offering comparitave information on multiple competing technologies.
    - Attendance to specific events providing information on state of the art technology.

## Master Plan Development Costs

### Projected Cost Summary Includes:

- Simulation Center
  - ► Allows review of multiple systems for comparative analysis.
- Navajo
  - ► Installed Foxboro system.
- Bonanza
  - ► Foxboro site with scaled implementation schedule.
  - ▶ Implemented site of Esscor simulator.
- Other Candidate System Sites.
- Tecnology Presentations & Upadates.






### **Questions and Answers**


- Questions and Answers
  - ► Is replacement of CCS and Information Systems being proposed?
    - ★ No, this project is to review what needs to be done, when, what order, and lay out a plan to accomplish those items.
  - ▶ Is this part of the IPSC Strategic Plan?
    - ★ Yes, the Computer Services Strategic Plan included periodic review of process computer systems.
  - ► Is this project necessary now?
    - ★ Yes, IPSC is already experiencing some problems with maintenance due to obsolescence.
- Audience Questions


### Summary

- Project description
  - ► The project purpose is review and address the problem of obsolescence in critical plant process control and data systems.
- Project goals
  - ► Review Status of Existing Systems
  - ► Identify Preferred Replacement Technology
  - ▶ Prepare Preliminary Replacement Design
  - ► Develop Replacement Schedule & Costs
  - ► Compare Benefits v. Risks
  - ▶ Prepare a Master Plan
- Project benefits
  - ► Maintain Station Availability.
  - Improve Station Efficiency/Cost Control.
  - ▶ Develop a Replacement Master Plan.
  - Minimizes potential for emergency replacement projects.
- Project schedule











- Project Purpose
  - ▶ Development of a Master Plan to address the problem of obsolescence in critical plant process control and data systems.
  - ▶ Coordination of Approval & Implementation of that plan.
- Project Team Members
  - ► Al Williams
  - ► Bill Morgan
  - ► James Burr
  - ► Ken Nielson

## Project Scope


#### **Activities**

Project activities will be limited to development of the Intermountain Generation Facility CISD Master Plan.

### **Systems**

The CISD Master Plan will include the following systems:

- ★ Coordinated Control Systems (CCS)
  - ► Foxboro Videospec & Microspec Systems
  - ▶ GE TAC, TGSI, & EHC Systems
  - ► Rochester Information System (RIS)
  - ▶ Bailey Burner Management & Control Systems.
- ★ Information Computer Systems (IC)
  - ► Foxboro FOX 1/A Systems
  - ▶ PI Plant Information Systems
- Future Simulation, Test, & Training System



# Historical Background

The CCS and IC systems were installed in 1986-87. By 1989, OEMs had discontinued production and sale of new systems of this type.

In 1993, the PI system was installed to enhance plant data acquisition capability and extend the service life of the IC system. Replacement of the IC system was originally planned for 1998-99.

Currently, OEMs and some 3rd-party vendors continue to provide support for most CCS and IC system components but support costs are escalating and parts availability is decreasing.

### **Project Justification**

#### ■ Station Availability

- ► Reliable and continuous operation of the CCS and unit-level process information systems is critical to this goal.
- ► The obsolete nature of these systems may impact this goal.
  - ★ In 2002, IGS will be operating with CCS and IC systems that are 16 years old.
- ► The current status of each system will be reviewed, including:
  - ★ System reliability & availability.
  - ★ Lead-time for replacement parts and response time on repairs.
  - ★ Need for and alternatives to the existing systems.
- ► A prioritized replacement sequence will be developed from the review.
- ► Issue of obsolescence and spare parts availability will be addressed.

### **Project Justification**

- Improve Station Efficiency/Cost Control:
  - ▶ Maintenance costs for these systems are increasing. These costs will be reviewed.
    - ★ New systems are built on more open software & hardware platforms reducing cost of ownership and maintenance.
    - ★ Open systems concept increases cross compatibility between varied systems.
  - ► The technology review will seek to identify other potential benefits and gains beyond reduction of CCS and IC systems maintenance costs and spares inventory.
    - ★ New systems may offer significant capability for additional efficiency gains at IGS.
    - ★ Control/monitoring system speed and capability would be improved offering potential savings in plant operations and maintenance.
    - ★ Control system programs on new systems would be portable to information and simulations systems to improve performance analysis, modeling and predictive maintenance capabilities.